



WATERLOOCHRONICLE <http://www.waterloochronicle.ca/news/article/203988>
.ca

Red flags should be raised about fluoridated water

Published on Mar 03, 2010

A fundamental flaw in the opinion of proponents of fluoridation is the failure to recognize the difference between “additives” and “drugs.”

The misbranding of fluoridated water as a mere “additive” instead of a “drug” has permitted government agencies to circumvent federal and provincial drug laws.

The political implications of this are clear. Without the constraints of the normal drug approval process (Food and Drug Act), a municipality could add any drug to municipal water and misbrand it as a mere “additive.”

The use of unregulated, unapproved drugs in drinking water has led to a “slippery slope” — ethically and legally.

“The Food and Drug Administration Office of Prescription Drug Compliance has confirmed, to my surprise, that there are no studies to demonstrate either the safety or effectiveness of these drugs which FDA classifies as unapproved new drugs.” said Dr. David Kessler, M. D., Commissioner, United States Food and Drug Administration, in a letter June 3, 1993 to Congressman Kenneth Calvert, Chairman, Subcommittee on Energy and Environment, Committee on Science, Washington, D. C.

With growing attention on the large volume of research demonstrating neurotoxic, endocrine and gastrointestinal effects of fluoride from drinking water, these red flags should be investigated in the interest of public health.

Carole Clinch *Waterloo*

WATERLOOCHRONICLE
.ca

<http://www.waterloochronicle.ca/news/article/203988>



Metroland West Media Group
DIGITALMEDIACENTRE

© Copyright 2010 Metroland Media Group Ltd. All rights reserved. The reproduction, modification, distribution, transmission or republication of any material from this Metroland West Media Group website is strictly prohibited without the prior written permission of Metroland Media Group Ltd.

