WATERLOOCHRONICLE.ca
http://www.waterloochronicle.ca/opinions/article/217227

Vote no on Oct. 25

Published on Aug 18, 2010

Fluoridation contaminates our pristine water supply. Waterloo is built on a clay cap that helps protect that water supply.

Angry people fill council chambers whenever a developer or municipality wants to drill a tiny hole through that protective clay layer, or wants to shave several feet off it. Yet we suit up city workers in haz-mat suits and ask them to drip raw, untested hydrofluorosilicic acid into our otherwise pristine water, calling it fluoridation.

Remarkably, we drink only 1 to 2 per cent of municipally treated water, while allowing a vast majority directly back into the environment.

Sewage treatment process does not remove silicofluoride, arsenic, lead, mercury and radioactive materials, all found in the hydrofluorosilicic acid used for fluoridation.

Therefore, these pollutants in sewage effluent are being passed directly into our environment.

Even something as simple as lawn watering results in run-off into rainwater sewers and back into surface rivers and streams.

Lawn watering puts a toxic burden on the environment when water seeps through our lawns down towards the aquifers.

The clay cap can still allow some of this contaminated water through to pollute these aquifers.

Silicofluoride, arsenic, lead, mercury and radioactive materials accumulate in our bodies over a lifetime. Science shows they harm all living things, even in minute trace amounts.

Am I the only one who is not OK with this? I'm voting no to water fluoridation on October 25 .

Peter Mansell *Waterloo*

WATERLOOCHRONICLE.ca
http://www.waterloochronicle.ca/opinions/article/217227